

January 4, 2016

TO: Developers of Class Year 2015 Projects

Queue Pos.	Project or Facility	Developer or Owner	Contact(s)
305	Champlain Hudson Power Express	Transmission Developers Inc.	Bill Helmer Suresh Dave George Pond, Esq.
363	Poseidon Transmission	Poseidon Transmission, LLC	Edward Krapels Clarke Bruno Howard Kosel
395	Copenhagen Wind	Copenhagen Wind Farm, LLC	Paul J. Saliterman
397	Jericho Rise Wind	Jericho Rise, LLC	Aron Branam Kevin Choi
401	Caithness Long Island II	Caithness Long Island II, LLC	Mitch Garber Gary Keevill
403	Bethlehem Energy Center Uprate	PSEG Power New York	Konstantin Borushko Howard Fromer
431	Greenidge Unit#4	Greenidge Generation	Dale Irwin George Pond, Esq.
N/A	Marble River Wind (CRIS only)	Marble River, LLC	Jeremy High
N/A	Stony Creek (increased CRIS request)	Stony Creek Energy, LLC	Carlos Rodriguez
N/A	Bowline 2 (increased CRIS request)	Bowline	Kelli Joseph
N/A	East River 1 Uprate (increased CRIS request)	Con Edison	Michael Brown Christina Ho Victor Mullin
N/A	East River 2 Uprate (increased CRIS request)	Con Edison	Michael Brown Christina Ho Victor Mullin
N/A	Linden Cogeneration Plant (increased CRIS request)	East Coast Power, LLC	Tom Fogarty
N/A	Astoria CC1 and CC2 (increased CRIS request)	Astoria Energy	Charles McCall
N/A	N/A (External CRIS Request)	HQ-US	Matthieu Plante Scott Leuthauser

**Re: Notice of Class Year 2015 Initial Decisions Regarding the Class Year 2015 Cost Allocations - Initiation of Second Round**

Dear Class Year 2015 Developer Representatives:

January 3, 2017 was the deadline for the Class Year 2015 Developers to provide notice to the NYISO indicating either acceptance (“Acceptance Notice”) or non-acceptance (“Non-Acceptance Notice”) of their

respective Project Cost Allocations for System Upgrade Facilities (SUFs) and System Deliverability Upgrades (SDUs) and Deliverable MWs, as outlined in my *Notice of Class Year 2015 Initial Project Cost Allocations for System Upgrade Facilities and System Deliverability Upgrades*, dated December 2, 2015.

This is to inform you that Non-Acceptance Events have occurred, and therefore, a second round of cost allocations will be required. Developer decisions are summarized in the table below.

<b>Table – Class Year 2015 – Summary of Developers’ Initial Decisions</b>			
<b>Queue #/ Project</b>	<b>Project Cost Allocation for SUFs</b>	<b>Project Cost Allocation for SDUs</b>	<b>Deliverable MWs</b>
305 / Champlain Hudson Power Express	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
363 / Poseidon Transmission	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
395 / Copenhagen Wind	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
397 / Jericho Rise Wind	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
401 / Caithness Long Island II	Not Accepted	Not Accepted	Not Accepted
403 / Bethlehem Energy Center Uprate	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
431 / Greenidge Unit#4	Accepted	Accepted	Accepted
N/A / Marble River Wind	N/A	Accepted	Accepted
N/A / Stony Creek	N/A	Accepted	Accepted
N/A / Bowline 2	N/A	Accepted	Accepted
N/A / East River 1 Uprate	N/A	Accepted	Accepted
N/A / East River 2 Uprate	N/A	Accepted	Accepted
N/A / Linden Cogeneration Plant	N/A	Accepted	Accepted
N/A / Astoria CC1 and CC2	N/A	Accepted	Accepted
N/A / HQ-US External CRIS	N/A	Accepted	Accepted

As a consequence of the above Non-Acceptance Events, all Class Year 2015 Developers are relieved of their obligation to post Security for their current respective Project Cost Allocations for both SUFs and SDUs, as applicable.

For the second round, the Q401 Caithness Long Island II project and its related SDUs will be removed from Class Year 2015 entirely. The NYISO will issue updated Class Year 2015 Interconnection Facilities Study reports, including a Revised Project Cost Allocation for SUFs and SDUs and Deliverable MWs, by January 17, 2017, in accordance with Attachment S of the NYISO Open Access Transmission Tariff.

Once NYISO issues the updated Class Year 2015 Interconnection Facilities Study reports, the remaining Developers will have seven (7) calendar days from the issuance of the updated reports to again notify the NYISO whether or not they accept their respective Revised Project Cost Allocations for SUFs and SDUs and their Deliverable MWs. Note, however, that a Developer that accepted an SUF and/or SDU Project Cost Allocation in the Initial Decision Period will not be provided with the option to accept or reject a Revised Project Cost Allocation following a Subsequent Decision Period unless the Revised Project Cost Allocation provides for (1) an increase in the SUF or the SDU Project Cost Allocation; or (2) a decrease in the Class Year Project's Deliverable MWs. If the Developer is not provided with the option to accept or reject a Revised Project Cost Allocation, its Acceptance Notice from the Initial Decision Period will act as an Acceptance Notice in the second round Decision Period.

If there are no Non-Acceptance Events in the second round Decision Period, Developers will then have five (5) Business Days from the end of the Decision Period to (1) pay cash or post Security to the applicable Transmission Owner(s) for SUFs and (2) to pay cash or post Security for Headroom to the applicable prior Class Year Developer(s). If, however, any remaining Class Year 2015 Developers reject a Revised Cost Allocation for SUFs, SDUs, or Deliverable MW, the NYISO will need to prepare and issue updated second revised Class Year Interconnection Facilities Study with Revised Project Cost Allocations and Deliverable MWs, as applicable.

Please refer to Sections 25.8.2 through 25.8.4 of Attachment S for details regarding the Class Year decision and settlement process.

If you have any questions, please call me at (518) 356-6025, or send me an e-mail at [tnguyen@nyiso.com](mailto:tnguyen@nyiso.com).

Sincerely,

*Thinh Nguyen*

Manager, Interconnection Projects

cc. Arnie Schuff, NYPA  
Vitaly Spitsa, Con Edison  
Kathryn Cox, National Grid  
Stephen Cantore, PSEG-LI  
Julie Mahoney, NYSEG/RG&E